1 Migratory connections between humpback whales from South

2 Pacific breeding grounds and Antarctic feeding areas based on

3 genotype matching

D. STEEL^{1,14}, C. GARRIGUE^{2,14}, M. M. POOLE^{3,14}, N. HAUSER^{4,14}, C. OLAVARRÍA^{5,6,14}, L. FLÓREZGONZÁLEZ^{7,14}, R. CONSTANTINE^{5,14}, S. CABALLERO^{5,14}, D. THIELE⁸, L. SLOOTEN⁹, S. DAWSON⁹, B.
ABERNETHY¹⁰, M. OREMUS^{5,14}, K. RUSSELL^{5,14}, D. PATON^{11,14}, P. CLAPHAM^{12,14}, M. DONOGHUE^{13,14},
& C. S. BAKER^{1,5,14}

8 ¹Marine Mammal Institute, Oregon State University, Newport, Oregon 97365 USA

9 ²Opération Cétacés, BP 12827, 98802 Nouméa, Nouvelle-Calédonie

¹⁰ ³Marine Mammal Research Program, BP 698, 98728 Maharepa, Moorea, French Polynesia

⁴Cook Islands Whale Research, P.O. Box 3069, Avarua, Rarotonga, Cook Islands

⁵School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand

13 ⁶Fundación Centro de Estudios del Cuaternario (CEQUA), Plaza Muñoz Gamero 1033, Punta Arenas,

14 Chile

¹⁵ ⁷Fundación Yubarta, Carrera 24F Oeste No. 3-110, Tejares de San Fernando, Cali, Colombia

⁸Whale Ecology Group, School of Ecology and Environment, Deakin University, PO Box 432,

17 Warrnambool, Victoria 3280, Australia

⁹University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

¹⁰Fish and Game New Zealand, P.O. Box 9518 Marion Square, Wellington, New Zealand

20 ¹¹BLUE Planet Marine, P.O. Box 919 Jamison Centre, Kingston, ACT 2614 Australia

21 ¹²AFSC/National Marine Mammal Lab, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 4, Seattle, WA 98115, USA

22 ¹³External Relations Division, Department of Conservation, P. O. Box 10-420, Wellington, New

23 Zealand

24 ¹⁴South Pacific Whale Research Consortium, P.O. Box 3069, Avarua, Rarotonga

25 Running title: Migratory connections of South Pacific humpback whales

26 Keywords: humpback, Megaptera novaeangliae, migration, genotyping

Humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae, Borowski, 1781) congregate during the austral winter 1 near island groups throughout the South Pacific. The islands of the South Pacific (referred to here as 2 Oceania), range from New Caledonia in the west to the Society and Austral Islands of French 3 Polynesia in the east, and lie directly north of the humpback Antarctic feeding grounds referred to by 4 the International Whaling Commission (IWC) as Areas V and VI (Donovan 1991). For this reason, it 5 has generally been assumed that humpbacks that winter in Oceania are part of the so-called Group V 6 and VI stocks. However, unlike the historic tagging studies of humpbacks taken by coastal whaling 7 stations in eastern Australia and New Zealand (Chittleborough 1965; Dawbin 1964, 1966), there is 8 little direct evidence connecting the breeding grounds of Oceania to Antarctic Areas. Tagging and 9 recovery of Discovery marks documented only four cases of migratory connections between the 10 breeding grounds of Oceania and the Antarctic (Table 1): one between Fiji and Area IV, one between 11 Tonga and Area V and two between Tonga and Area I (Dawbin 1966). There are no Discovery mark 12 records connecting Antarctica to other historically known grounds such as New Caledonia, Vanuatu 13 14 and Samoa (Townsend 1935), or the more recently described breeding grounds around the Cook 15 Islands and the Society Islands of French Polynesia (Hauser et al. 2000, Poole 2002, Gannier 2004). 16 More recently, photo-identification studies have documented a degree of interchange among breeding grounds of Oceania (Garrigue et al. 2000, 2002) and between Oceania and migratory corridors along 17 18 eastern Australia and New Zealand (Garrigue et al. 2000, Constantine et al. 2007). However, to date, there has been limited matching between Oceania and Antarctic catalogues with no evidence of 19 20 interchange (Franklin et al., 2008). In the austral winter of 2006, a single whale tagged with a satellite 21 transmitter provided the first record of migration from the Cook Islands to the Antarctic Area VI /I 22 boundary (Hauser et al. 2007).

Here we present new records of migratory interchange based on genotype matching (microsatellite, sex and mitochondrial DNA) between non-lethal samples collected throughout Oceania and those collected from Antarctic feeding Areas. Genotype matching is increasingly being used in the study of migratory animals including humpback whales (Palsboll *et al.* 1997, Berube *et al.* 2004, Pomilla and Rosenbaum 2005).

A total of 1.756 samples (biopsy samples and sloughed skin), including n = 1,112 samples described 1 by Olavarria et al. (2007), were collected from six winter breeding grounds: New Caledonia, Tonga, 2 Samoa, Cook Islands, French Polynesia and Pacific coast of Colombia (Table 2). Samples from 3 Oceania were collected primarily by members of the South Pacific Whale Research Consortium 4 during synoptic surveys from 1999 to 2005 but also include samples collected during surveys of some 5 regions dating back to 1991. Samples collected from the Colombian breeding grounds (Gorgona 6 Island and Bahía Málaga, coastal Colombia) were collected by members of Project Yubarta from 7 1991 to 1998. A total of n = 214 samples (biopsy only) were collected from Antarctic feeding Areas I-8 VI. These samples were collected from 1991 to 2005 during circumpolar surveys by the International 9 Decade of Cetacean Research and Southern Ocean Whale Ecosystem Research (IDCR/SOWER) of 10 the IWC, and during more localized surveys of the Antarctic Peninsula by the Chilean Antarctic 11 12 Institute (INACH), and of Area I by Southern Ocean Global Ocean Ecosystems Dynamics (SO-GLOBEC). 13

Total cellular DNA was isolated from skin tissue by digestion with Proteinase K followed by a 14 15 standard phenol:chloroform extraction method (Sambrook et al. 1989) as modified for small skin samples (Baker et al. 1994). Up to 17 microsatellite loci were amplified for each sample using 16 17 previously published primers (GT211, GT575, GT23 (Berube et al. 2000) GATA417, GATA28 (Palsboll et al. 1997) Ev1, Ev14, Ev21, Ev37, Ev94, Ev96, Ev104 (Valsecchi and Amos 1996) 18 19 464/465 (Schlotterer et al. 1991) rw26, rw31, rw4-10, rw48 (Waldick et al. 1999)). Microsatellite loci 20 were amplified individually in 96- or 384-well format with MJ PTC-225 (MJ Research) and 21 multiplexed in three sets for automated sizing on an ABI 3730xl (Applied Biosystems). Molecular 22 identification of sex and sequencing of the mitochondrial (mt) DNA control region (460 bp) followed 23 methods described in detail by Olavarria et al. (2007). Data organisation and initial analyses of 24 microsatellite alleles, sex and mtDNA haplotypes were conducted with the program GenAlEx 25 (Peakall and Smouse 2006).

Variation in the number of microsatellite loci amplified successfully suggested relatively poor quality
 DNA for some samples, particularly from sloughed skin. Following a quality control (QC) review,

samples with fewer than 9 microsatellite loci were deleted from the dataset, leaving a total of n = 1 1.601 QC samples from breeding grounds and n = 197 QC samples from Antarctic feeding Areas, 2 with an average of 13.5 loci each. Unique genotypes within breeding grounds and within feeding 3 areas were resolved with the program CERVUS (Marshall et al. 1998) using criteria that required 4 exact matching for at least 8 loci, supported, in most cases, with control region haplotypes and sex. 5 Given the large number of loci and the potential for false exclusion due to allelic drop-out and other 6 genotype error (Waits and Leberg 2000, Waits et al. 2001), the initial comparison allowed for 7 mismatches at up to three loci. Average probability of identity (PI) for the minimum criterion of 8 8 matching loci ranged from 1.68 x10⁻⁶ to 2.55 x10⁻¹² (depending on the particular combination of 8) as 9 calculated following Paetkau et al. (1995). Given these low values, we assumed that genotypes 10 matching at 8 or more loci were likely to represent replicate samples (true recaptures) of the same 11 individual whales and any mismatching loci were likely to represent genotype error (Hoffman and 12 Amos 2005). With these criteria, the n = 1,798 QC samples resolved n = 1,065 unique genotypes from 13 14 the six breeding grounds and n = 175 unique genotypes from the Antarctic feeding areas (Table 2).

15 Comparison between the n = 1,065 unique genotypes from the breeding grounds and n = 175 from the 16 feeding areas revealed 5 matches representing migratory connections: one between New Caledonia 17 and Area V, one between Tonga and Area VI, two between Tonga and Area I (western margin) and 18 one between Colombia and Area I (Antarctic Peninsula) (Table 3). All matches were supported by at least 12 microsatellite loci with maximum PI < 1.1×10^{-14} and a maximum PI_{sib} < 4.1×10^{-5} , as well sex 19 20 and mtDNA haplotype. Genotypes of two samples (sample codes Mno91Tg008 and MnoA51581) 21 included a 'partial mismatch' at three loci *i.e.*, one sample was a homozygote for one allele of the 22 other sample. We repeated these samples, confirming that this initial inconsistency was the result of 23 allelic drop-out.

24

Our genotype survey has doubled the number of connections documented by *Discovery* marking, despite the relatively small number of samples from the Antarctic feeding areas. This study provides the first direct evidence of migration between New Caledonia and Area V. Further evidence is also provided for a relatively strong connection between Tonga and Areas VI and I, as well as for the
 previously established connection between the Pacific coast of Colombia and the Antarctic Peninsula
 (Area I; Stone *et al.* 1990, Stevick *et al.* 2004, Caballero *et al* 2001).

Information on the migratory connections between breeding grounds in the South Pacific and the 4 5 Antarctic has important implications for management. Humpback whales were hunted intensively throughout the Southern Hemisphere, with more than 200,000 killed during the 20th century (Clapham 6 7 and Baker 2002). As a consequence, humpback whales disappeared from many regions of the Southern Hemisphere (Chapman 1974). While some regions have shown evidence of strong recovery 8 in abundance (e.g., Bannister 1994, Paterson et al. 1994), the numbers of humpback whales in 9 surveyed breeding grounds of Oceania remains low (Garrigue et al. 2004, Gibbs et al. 2006, SPWRC 10 et al. 2006). In an effort to understand the history of this exploitation and the current status of stocks, 11 the IWC is undertaking a Comprehensive Assessment of humpback whales in the Southern 12 Hemisphere (IWC 1998). One of the challenges of this Comprehensive Assessment is the allocation 13 14 of historical catches from the Antarctic feeding areas to breeding grounds for the purposes of 15 modelling the historical trajectory of each stock (Baker and Clapham 2004). The available genotype 16 matches and Discovery mark recoveries suggest that catches from Areas V, VI and at least the western 17 edge of Area I must be taken into account for an assessment for Tonga, historically considered to be a 18 component of Group V, or more recently, of Breeding Stock E. Given the Government of Japan's 19 plans to add humpback whales to the list of species taken in Antarctic waters in scientific whaling, a 20 more urgent challenge is understanding the mixing of individuals from relatively abundant breeding 21 stocks, such as those from the coasts of Australia, with those from relatively small and slowly 22 recovering stocks, such as those from Oceania (Gales et al. 2005). The demonstration of migration from New Caledonia to Area V, the location of Japan's proposed hunting in the austral summer of 23 24 2008/09, confirms concerns that whales from small breeding stocks in the South Pacific are at risk 25 from hunting in Area V.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This survey was made possible through the generous collaboration of members and affiliates of the South Pacific Whale Research Consortium (SPWRC), with support of the International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW). Funding for laboratory analysis was provided by the Regional Natural Heritage Program, Department of Environment and Heritage, Government of Australia. We thank Paul Ensor and Hidehiro Kato for access to samples collected by the IDCR/SOWER program of the International Whaling Commission, and SWFSC for archiving the samples. Samples from the Antarctic Peninsula were made available courtesy of Instituto Antárctico Chileno (INACH).

9

10 LITERATURE CITED

BAKER, C.S. AND P. CLAPHAM. 2004. Modeling the past and future of whales and whaling.
Trends in Ecology and Evolution 19:365-371.

13 BAKER, C.S., R.W. SLADE, J.L. BANNISTER, R.B. ABERNETHY, M.T. WEINRICH, J. LIEN, J. URBAN-

14 R., P. CORKERON, J. CALAMBOKIDIS, O. VASQUEZ AND S.R. PALUMBI. 1994. Hierarchical structure

15 of mitochondrial DNA gene flow among humpback whales Megaptera novaeangliae, world-wide.

16 Molecular Ecology 3:313-327.

BANNISTER, J.L. 1994. Continued increase in Group IV humpbacks off Western Australia. Report of
the International Whaling Commission 44:309-310.

BERUBE, M., H. JORGENSEN, R. MCEWING AND P.J. PALSBOLL. 2000. Polymorphic di-nucleotide
 microsatellite loci isolated from the humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae. Molecular Ecology
 9:2181-2183.

22 BERUBE, M., M.B. REW, T. COLE, S.L. SWARTZ, E. ZOLMAN, N. OIEN AND P.J. PALSBOLL. 2004.

Genetic identification of an individual humpback whale between the eastern Caribbean and the
Norwegian sea. Marine Mammal Science 20:657-663.

25 CABALLERO, S., H. HAMILTON, C. JARAMILLO, J. CAPELLA, L. FLÓREZ-GONZÁLEZ, C. OLAVARRÍA,

26 H. ROSENBAUM, F. GUHL AND C. S. BAKER. 2001. Genetic characterization of the Colombian

- Pacific Coast humpback whale population using RAPD and mitochondrial DNA sequences. Memoirs
 of the Queensland Museum 47: 459-464.
- CHAPMAN, D.G. 1974. Status of Antarctic rorqual stocks. Pages 218-238 in W.E. Schevill, ed. The
 whale problem. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- 5 CHITTLEBOROUGH, R.G. 1965. Dynamics of two populations of humpback whales, Megaptera
- 6 novaeangliae (Borowski). Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 16:33-128.
- 7 CLAPHAM, P., C. GARRIGUE, N. HAUSER, Y. GEYER AND A. ZERBINI. 2008. Movements of satellite-
- 8 monitored humpback whales from New Caledonia and the Cook Islands. Scientific Committee of the

9 International Whaling Commission, Santiago, Chile. Paper SC/60/SH34.

- 10 CLAPHAM, P.J. AND C.S. BAKER. 2002. Modern whaling. Pages 1328-1332 in W.F. Perrin, B. Würsig
- and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals. Academic Press, New York.
- 12 CONSTANTINE, R., K. RUSSELL, N. GIBBS, S. CHILDERHOUSE AND C.S. BAKER. 2007. Photo-
- identification of humpback whales in New Zealand waters and their migratory connections to
 breeding grounds of Oceania. Marine Mammal Science 23:715–720.
- DAWBIN, W.H. 1959. New Zealand and South Pacific whale marking and recoveries to the end of
 1958. Norsk Hvalfangst Tidende 48:213-238.
- 17 DAWBIN, W.H. 1964. Movements of humpback whales marked in the southwest Pacific Ocean 1952-
- 18 1962. Norsk Hvalfangst Tidende 53:68-78.
- 19 DAWBIN, W.H. 1966. The seasonal migratory cycle of humpback whales. Pages 145-171 in K.S.
- 20 Norris, ed. Whales, dolphins and porpoises. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles,
- 21 CA.
- 22 DONOVAN, G.P. 1991. A review of IWC stock boundaries. Pages 39-68 in A.R. HOELZEL, eds.
- 23 Genetic ecology of whales and dolphins. Report of the International Whaling Commission (Special
- 24 Issue 13). International Whaling Commission, Cambridge.
- 25 FRANKLIN, W., T. FRANKLIN, L. BROOKS, N. GIBBS, S. CHILDERHOUSE, F. SMITH, D. BURNS, D.
- 26 PATON, C. GARRIGUE, R. CONSTANTINE, M. M. POOLE, N. HAUSER, M. DONOGHUE, K. RUSSELL, D.
- 27 K. MATILLA, J. ROBBINS, A. OOSTERMAN, R. LEAPER, C. S. BAKER AND P. CLAPHAM. 2008.
- 28 Migratory movements of humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) between Eastern Australia and

1 the Balleny Islands, Antarctica, confirmed by photo-identification. Scientific Committee of the

2 International Whaling Commission, Santiago, Chile. Paper SC/60/SH2.

GALES, N.J., T. KASUYA, P.J. CLAPHAM AND R.L. BROWNELL-JR. 2005. Japan's whaling plan under
scrutiny. Useful science or unregulated commercial whaling? Nature 435:883-884.

GANNIER, A. 2004. The large-scale distribution of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*)
wintering in French Polynesia during 1997-2002. Aquatic Mammals 30:227-236.

7 GARRIGUE, C., P. FORESTELL, J. GREAVES, P. GILL, P. NAESSIG, N. M. PATENAUDE, AND C. S.

8 BAKER. 2000. Migratory movement of humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) between New

9 Caledonia, East Australia and New Zealand. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 2:11110 115.

11 GARRIGUE, C., A. AGUAYO, V. L. U. AMANTE-HELWEG, C. S. BAKER, S. CABALLERO, P. CLAPHAM,

12 R. CONSTANTINE, J. DENKINGER, M. DONOGHUE, L. FLÓREZ-GONZÁLEZ, J. GREAVES, N. HAUSER,

C. OLAVARRÍA, C. PAIROA, H. PECKHAM, AND M. POOLE. 2002. Movements of humpback whales in
Oceania, South Pacific. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 4:255–260.

GARRIGUE, C., R. DODEMONT, D. STEEL, AND C. S. BAKER. 2004. Organismal and 'gametic' capture recapture using microsatellite genotyping confirm low abundance and reproductive autonomy of
 humpback whales on the wintering grounds of New Caledonia. Marine Ecology Progress Series,
 274:251-262.

GIBBS, N., D. PATON, S. CHILDERHOUSE AND P. CLAPHAM. 2006. Assessment of the current
 abundance of humpback whales in the Lomaiviti Island group of Fiji and a comparison with historical
 data. Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, Hobart, Australia. Paper
 SC/A06/HW34.

23 HAUSER, N., H. PECKHAM AND P. CLAPHAM. 2000. Humpback whales in the Southern Cook Islands,

24 South Pacific. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 2:159-164.

25 HAUSER, N. A. ZERBINI, Y. GEYER, M.-P. HEIDE-JORGENSEN, AND P. CLAPHAM. 2007. Migratory

26 destination of a humpback whale satellite-tagged in the Cook Islands. Scientific Committee of the

27 International Whaling Commission, Alaska, USA. Paper SC/59/SH16.

1	HOFFMAN, J.I. AND W. AMOS. 2005. Microsatellite genotyping errors: detection approaches, common
2	sources and consequences for paternal exclusion. Molecular Ecology 14:599-612.
3	IWC. 1998. Report of the Sub-Committee on Comprehensive Assessment of Southern Hemisphere
4	Humpback Whales. Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex G. Report of the International
5	Whaling Commission 48:170-182.
6	MARSHALL, T.C., J. SLATE, L.E.B. KRUUK AND J.M. PEMBERTON. 1998. Statistical confidence for
7	likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. Molecular Ecology 7:639-655.
8	MIKHALEV, Y.A. AND D.D. TORMOSOV. 1997. Corrected data about non-Soviet whale marks
9	recovered by Soviet whaling fleets. Report of the International Whaling Commission, 47: 1019-1027.
10	OLAVARRÍA, C., C.S. BAKER, C. GARRIGUE, M. POOLE, N. HAUSER, S. CABALLERO, L. FLÓREZ-
11	GONZÁLEZ, M. BRASSEUR, J. BANNISTER, J. CAPELLA, P.J. CLAPHAM, R. DODEMONT, M.
12	DONOGHUE, C. JENNER, M.N. JENNER, D. MORO, M. OREMUS, D.A. PATON AND K. RUSSELL. 2007.
13	Population structure of humpback whales throughout the South Pacific and the origins of the eastern
14	Polynesian breeding grounds. Marine Ecology Progress Series 330:257-268.
15	PAETKAU, D., W. CALVERT, I. STIRLING AND C. STROBECK. 1995. Microsatellite analysis of
16	population structure in Canadian polar bears. Molecular Ecology 4:347-354.
17	PALSBOLL, P.J., M. BERUBE, A.H. LARSEN AND H. JORGENSEN. 1997. Primers for the amplification
18	of tri- and tetramer microsatellite loci in baleen whales. Molecular Ecology 6:893-895.
19	PALSBØLL, P.J., J. ALLEN, M. BÉRUBÉ, P.J. CLAPHAM, T.P. FEDDERSEN, P.S. HAMMOND, R.R.
20	HUDSON, H. JØRGENSEN, S. KATONA, A.H. LARSEN, F. LARSEN, J. LIEN, D.K. MATTILA, J.
21	SIGURJONSSON, R. SEARS, T. SMITH, R. SPONER, P. STEVICK, AND N. ØIEN. 1997. Genetic tagging of
22	humpback whales. Nature 388: 767-69
23	PATERSON, R., P. PATERSON AND D.H. CATO. 1994. The status of humpback whales Megaptera
24	novaeangliae in East Australia thirty years after whaling. Biological Conservation 70:135-142.

PEAKALL, R. AND P.E. SMOUSE. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
 software for teaching and research. Molecular Ecology Notes 6:288-229.

27 Pomilla, C. and H.C. Rosenbaum. 2005. Against the current: an inter-oceanic whale migration event.

28 Biology Letters 1:476-479.

- POOLE, M. 2002. Occurrence of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in French Polynesia in
 1988-2001. Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, Shimonoseki, Japan.
 Paper SC/54/H14.
- SAMBROOK, J., E.F. FRITSCH AND T. MANIATIS. 1989. Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. Cold
 Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 6 SCHLOTTERER, C., W. AMOS AND D. TAUTZ. 1991. Conservation of polymorphic simple sequence
 7 loci in cetacean species. Nature 354:63-65.
- STEVICK, P.T., A. AGUAYO, J. ALLEN, I.C. AVILA, J. CAPELLA, C. CASTRO, K. CHATER, M. ENGEL,
 F. FELIX, L. FLÓREZ-GONZÁLEZ, A. FREITAS, B. HASSE, M. LLANO, L. LODI, E. MUNOZ, C.
 OLAVARRÍA, E. SECCHI, M. SCHEIDAT AND S. SICILIANO. 2004. A note on the migrations of
 individually identified humpback whales between the Antarctic Peninsula and South America. Journal
 of Cetacean Research and Management 6:109-113.
- 13 STONE, G.S., L. FLOREZ-GONZALES AND S. KATONA. 1990. Whale migration record. Nature 346:705.
- TORMOSOV, D.D. 1996. Some results of whale tagging in the Southern Hemisphere. Report
 SC/48/SH29 to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, Aberdeen, UK.
- TOWNSEND, C.H. 1935. The distribution of certain whales as shown by logbook records of American
 whaleships. Zoologica 19:1-50.
- 18 VALSECCHI, E. AND W. AMOS. 1996. Microsatellite markers for the study of cetacean populations.
- 19 Molecular Ecology 5:151-156.
- WAITS, J.L. AND P.L. LEBERG. 2000. Biases associated with population estimation using molecular
 tagging. Animal Conservation 3:191-199.
- WAITS, L.P., G. LUIKART AND P. TABERLET. 2001. Estimating the probability of identity among
 genotypes in natural populations: cautions and guidelines. Molecular Ecology 10:249-256.
- 24 WALDICK, R.C., M.W. BROWN AND B.N. WHITE. 1999. Characterization and isolation of
- 25 microsatellite loci from the endangered North Atlantic right whale. Molecular Ecology 8:1763-1765.
- 26

Appendix table of genotype data.

Sample Name	464 /465	Ev1	Ev14	Ev21	Ev37	Ev94	Ev96	Ev104	GATA 28	GATA 417	GT 211	GT 23	GT 575	rw 31	rw 4-10	rw 48
Mno01A51580	139/143	123/123	131/141	109/115	212/218	214/214	153/165	149/149		207/214	106/110	111/111	145/155		204/204	114/118
Mno03Tg014	139/143	123/123	131/141	109/115	212/218	214/214	153/165	149/149	147/175	207/214	106/110	111/111	145/155	106/120	204/204	114/118
Mno01A51553	139/139	123/123	131/137	109/109	214/216	212/212	163/171	149/151	187/191		100/116	111/111	153/163	106/114		112/116
Mno03Tg107	139/139	123/123	131/137	109/109	214/216	212/212	163/171	149/151	187/191	218/274		111/111	153/163	106/114	196/204	112/116
Mno01A51546	143/143	123/123	131/131	115/115	192/220	214/216	147/159	149/149			106/106	101/115	151/153			116/116
Mno97NC016	143/143	123/123	131/131	115/115	192/220	214/216	147/159	149/149	147/175	214/218	106/106	101/115	151/153	106/106	204/204	116/116
Mno01A51581	133/137	123/123	131/131	111/111	196/214	208/214	159/161	149/149	147/147	207/214	108/110	111/115	145/149	106/106	194/204	116/116
Mno91Tg008	133/137	123/123		111/111	196/214	208/214	159/161	149/149	147/147	207/214	108/110	111/115	145/149	106/106	194/204	116/116
MnolWC94H101	139/143	125/127	129/135	109/111	200/206	208/214	163/163	149/149	147/147	203/218	108/112	111/115	147/151	114/116	196/206	116/116
Mno91Co005	139/143	125/127	129/135	109/111	200/206	208/214	163/163	149/149	147/147	203/218	108/112	111/115		114/116	196/206	116/116
Mno91Col1	139/143	125/127	129/135	109/111	200/206	208/214	163/163	149/149	147/147	203/218	108/112	111/115		114/116	196/206	116/116